

## Network Device Initialization Example

Initialization begins in the device driver.

```
JMW:eepr0100_init_one initializing net_device
JMW:speedo_found1 (eepr0100) about to call init_etherdev
```

The *init\_etherdev()* function calls *register\_netdevice()*

```
JMW:register_netdevice registered device c1840800
```

The *register\_netdevice()* function calls the *netdev\_chain* of notifiers. This results in an indirect call to *rtnetlink\_event()* with the notifier code of 5 representing NETDEV\_REGISTER.

```
JMW:rtnetlink_event was called with 5
```

The *rtnetlink\_fill\_ifinfo()* function is called to compose and send a netlink notifier message that the interface is now up. The caller of *rtnetlink\_fill\_ifinfo()* here is *rtmsg\_ifinfo()*.

```
JMW:rtnetlink_fill_ifinfo was called from c0254814 with c1840800
```

After the message is sent to the netlink socket, control returns to the device driver where initialization of the hardware continues.

```
JMW:speedo_found1 (eepr0100) dev at c1840800
```

The netlink notifier message is received asynchronously by *rtnetlink\_rcv()* which then calls *rtnetlink\_rcv\_skb()*.

```
JMW:rtnetlink_rcv_skb skb was received
```

The message is forwarded to *rtnetlink\_rcv\_msg()*.

```
JMW:rtnetlink_rcv_msg message was received
JMW:rtnetlink_rcv_msg message family is 11 type is 2
```

Here *rtnetlink\_fill\_ifinfo* is called from *rtnetlink\_dump\_ifinfo()*

```
JMW:rtnetlink_fill_ifinfo was called from c0254715 with c0313840
JMW:rtnetlink_fill_ifinfo was called from c0254715 with c1840800
```

This appears to be the end of the chain of events that are triggered by NETDEV\_REGISTER

Here the `devinet_ioctl()` is invoked from the socket layer with a request to change the flags associated with the interface. We assume call is the result of the `ifconfig` command being issued. The device here is most likely the loopback.

```
JMW: devinet_ioctl called from c0247fce
JMW: devinet_ioctl SIOCSIFFLAGS calling change flags for c0313840
JMW: dev_change_flags called for c0313840 from c027eaaf w/ 9
JMW: dev_open called for c0313840 from c024f65d
JMW: dev_open calling notifier for c0313840
```

The `dev_open()` function eventually calls the NETDEV notifier chain with event code 1 (NETDEV\_UP) and this leads to the activation of `rtnetlink_event()`.

```
JMW: rtnetlink_event was called with 1
```

Oddly, `rtnetlink_event()` responds identically to UP and DOWN events.

```
497 case NETDEV_UP:
498 case NETDEV_DOWN:
499     rtmsg_ifinfo(RTM_NEWLINK, dev, IFF_UP|IFF_RUNNING);
500 break;
```

The caller here is `rtmsg_ifinfo()`.

```
JMW: rtnetlink_fill_ifinfo was called from c0254814 with c0313840
JMW: rtnetlink_fill_ifinfo was called from c0254814 with c0313840
```

Eventually the message constructed here is broadcast on the netlink socket.

```
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_skb skb was received
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg message was received
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg message family is 0 type is 2
```

Here `rtnetlink_fill_ifinfo` is called from `rtnetlink_dump_ifinfo()`. The values `c0313840` and `c1840800` are pointers to the struct `netdevice` associated with interfaces `lo` and `eth0` respectively.

```
JMW: rtnetlink_fill_ifinfo was called from c0254715 with c0313840
JMW: rtnetlink_fill_ifinfo was called from c0254715 with c1840800
```

Message family 0 is `AF_UNSPEC`. Message types 6 and 2 are `RTM_GETADDR` and `RTM_GETLINK` respectively.

```
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_skb skb was received
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg message was received
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg message family is 0 type is 6
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_skb skb was received
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg message was received
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg message family is 0 type is 2
```

Again `rtnetlink_fill_ifinfo` is called from `rtnetlink_dump_ifinfo()`. The values `c0313840` and `c1840800` are pointers to the struct `netdevice` associated with interfaces `lo` and `eth0` respectively.

```
JMW: rtnetlink_fill_ifinfo was called from c0254715 with c0313840
JMW: rtnetlink_fill_ifinfo was called from c0254715 with c1840800
```

This call appears to produce messages of family 2 (`AF_INET`) and type 4 `RTM_NEWADDR`.

```
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_skb skb was received
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg message was received
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg message family is 2 type is 4
```

Receipt of the `RTM_NEWADDR` message finally triggers a call to the `doit()` routine which in this case is `inet_rtm_newaddr()`.

```
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg calling do it at c027e590
The do it function is: c027e590 T i n e t _ r t m _ n e w a d d r
```

```
JMW: i n e t _ r t m _ n e w a d d r d e v i s c0313840
JMW: i n e t _ r t m _ n e w a d d r c a l l i n g i n e t d e v _ i n i t
JMW: i n e t _ r t m _ n e w a d d r i n _ d e v i s d f e d 4 7 c 0
JMW: i n e t _ r t m _ n e w a d d r i f a i s c184a4e0
JMW: i n e t _ r t m _ n e w a d d r l a b e l i s l o
```

The next sequence of events appears to have been triggered by the call to `dev_open()` which called the `netdev` notifier chain with parameter `NETDEV_UP`. There are only two known callers of `fib_add_ifaddr()`. These are `fib_inetaddr_event()` and `fib_netdev_event()`. As shown on the next page entry to `fib_inetaddr_event()` appears to generate a message. Probably the `printk` was placed after the call but this needs to be checked. The parameter `c184a4e0` is a pointer to the associated struct `in_ifaddr`. The `dst` fields are IP addresses and here it can be seen that all of these belong to the loopback (`lo`) interface.

```
JMW: f i b _ a d d _ i f a d d r c a l l e d f r o m c028327b w i t h c184a4e0
JMW: f i b _ m a g i c c a l l e d f r o m c0283005 w i t h c184a4e0
JMW: f i b _ m a g i c c a l l e d w i t h c m d 1 8 , t y p e 2 , d s t 1 0 0 0 0 7 f , l e n 2 0
JMW: f i b _ m a g i c c a l l e d f r o m c0283033 w i t h c184a4e0
JMW: f i b _ m a g i c c a l l e d w i t h c m d 1 8 , t y p e 3 , d s t f f f f f f 7 f , l e n 2 0
JMW: f i b _ m a g i c c a l l e d f r o m c028306e w i t h c184a4e0
JMW: f i b _ m a g i c c a l l e d w i t h c m d 1 8 , t y p e 2 , d s t 7 f , l e n 8
JMW: f i b _ m a g i c c a l l e d f r o m c028308c w i t h c184a4e0
JMW: f i b _ m a g i c c a l l e d w i t h c m d 1 8 , t y p e 3 , d s t 7 f , l e n 2 0
JMW: f i b _ m a g i c c a l l e d f r o m c0283099 w i t h c184a4e0
JMW: f i b _ m a g i c c a l l e d w i t h c m d 1 8 , t y p e 3 , d s t f f f f f f 7 f , l e n 2 0
```

```
JMW: f i b _ i n e t a d d r _ e v e n t c a l l e d f o r i f a c184a4e0 w / U P
```

JMW: rtnetlink\_rcv\_skb skb was received  
JMW: rtnetlink\_rcv\_msg message was received  
JMW: rtnetlink\_rcv\_msg message family is 0 type is 2

Message type 2 is RTM\_GETLINK

JMW: rtnetlink\_fill\_info was called from c0254715 with c0313840  
JMW: rtnetlink\_fill\_info was called from c0254715 with c1840800  
JMW: rtnetlink\_rcv\_skb skb was received  
JMW: rtnetlink\_rcv\_msg message was received

The message family here is AF\_INET and the type of 8 is RTM\_NEWROUTE

JMW: rtnetlink\_rcv\_msg message family is 2 type is 8

Here the "doit" routine at c0282d50 is actually inet\_rtm\_newroute()

JMW: rtnetlink\_rcv\_msg calling doit at c0282d50

This call to devinet\_ioctl() is presumably the result of running the ifconfig command on the eth0 interface.

JMW: devinet\_ioctl called from c0247fce

The source of these messages remains unclear.

JMW: rtnetlink\_rcv\_skb skb was received  
JMW: rtnetlink\_rcv\_msg message was received  
JMW: rtnetlink\_rcv\_msg message family is 11 type is 2  
JMW: rtnetlink\_fill\_info was called from c0254715 with c0313840  
JMW: rtnetlink\_fill\_info was called from c0254715 with c1840800  
JMW: rtnetlink\_rcv\_skb skb was received  
JMW: rtnetlink\_rcv\_msg message was received  
JMW: rtnetlink\_rcv\_msg message family is 11 type is 2  
JMW: rtnetlink\_fill\_info was called from c0254715 with c0313840  
JMW: rtnetlink\_fill\_info was called from c0254715 with c1840800

As seen earlier with the loopback device we see the calls to dev\_change\_flags() and dev\_open().

JMW: devinet\_ioctl called from c0247fce  
JMW: devinet\_ioctl SIOCSIFFLAGS calling change flags for c1840800  
JMW: dev\_change\_flags called for c1840800 from c027eaaf w/ 1003  
JMW: dev\_open called for c1840800 from c024f65d  
JMW: dev\_open calling notifier for c1840800

This parameter value of 1 is NETDEV\_UP and the struct netdevice is that of eth0.

```
JMW: rtnetlink_event was called with 1
JMW: rtnetlink_fill_info was called from c0254814 with c1840800
JMW: rtnetlink_fill_info was called from c0254814 with c1840800
```

As before receipt of the first message appears to trigger synthesis of additional messages.

```
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_skb skb was received
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg message was received
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg message family is 0 type is 2

JMW: rtnetlink_fill_info was called from c0254715 with c0313840
JMW: rtnetlink_fill_info was called from c0254715 with c1840800
```

```
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_skb skb was received
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg message was received
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg message family is 0 type is 6
```

```
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_skb skb was received
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg message was received
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg message family is 0 type is 2
```

```
JMW: rtnetlink_fill_info was called from c0254715 with c0313840
JMW: rtnetlink_fill_info was called from c0254715 with c1840800
```

And after the exchange of mystery messages finally the RTM\_NEWADDR message appears.

```
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_skb skb was received
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg message was received
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg message family is 2 type is 4
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg calling do it at c027e590
JMW: inet_rtm_newaddr dev is c1840800
JMW: inet_rtm_newaddr calling inetdev_init
JMW: inet_rtm_newaddr in_dev is df52e6e0
JMW: inet_rtm_newaddr ifa is c184a460
JMW: inet_rtm_newaddr label is eth0
```

The next sequence of events appears to have been triggered by the call to `dev_open()` which called the `netdev` notifier chain with parameter `NETDEV_UP`. There are only two known callers of `fib_add_ifaddr()`. These are `fib_inetaddr_event()` and `fib_netdev_event()`. The caller here appears to be `fib_inetaddr_event()` as it is located at address `c0283260`. Here we see `fib_magic` registering the addresses associated with the `eth0` interface. The network address actually being used is `192.168.2.32` and the device addresses used are `.36`, `.63`, `.32`, `.32`, and `.63`

```
JMW: fib_add_ifaddr called from c028327b with c184a460
JMW: fib_magic called from c0283005 with c184a460
JMW: fib_magic called with cmd 18, type 2, dst 2402a8c0, len 20
JMW: fib_magic called from c0283033 with c184a460
JMW: fib_magic called with cmd 18, type 3, dst 3f02a8c0, len 20
JMW: fib_magic called from c028306e with c184a460
JMW: fib_magic called with cmd 18, type 1, dst 2002a8c0, len 1b
JMW: fib_magic called from c028308c with c184a460
JMW: fib_magic called with cmd 18, type 3, dst 2002a8c0, len 20
JMW: fib_magic called from c0283099 with c184a460
JMW: fib_magic called with cmd 18, type 3, dst 3f02a8c0, len 20
```

Presumably the `printk` that generated this was accidentally placed after the call to `fib_add_ifaddr()`.

```
JMW: fib_inetaddr_event called for ifa c184a460 w/ UP
```

```
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_skb skb was received
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg message was received
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg message family is 0 type is 2
```

```
JMW: rtnetlink_fill_info was called from c0254715 with c0313840
JMW: rtnetlink_fill_info was called from c0254715 with c1840800
```

```
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_skb skb was received
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg message was received
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg message family is 2 type is 8
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg calling do it at c0282d50
```

```
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_skb skb was received
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg message was received
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg message family is 2 type is 8
JMW: rtnetlink_rcv_msg calling do it at c0282d50
```

```
JMW: devinet_ioctl called from c0247fce
JMW: devinet_ioctl called from c0247fce
```